

MAIN FINDINGS OF THE PHASE 1 AND SCOPE OF THE PHASE 2 STUDY

Phase 1 study – goals

- » Analyse the following aspects with a view to a broad introduction of smart appliances:
 - » technical,
 - » economic,
 - » market, and
 - » societal
- » Develop adequate policy approaches supporting the broad introduction of smart appliances.

Phase 1 study – definition

- » Definition of a smart appliance = ENERGY SMART APPLIANCE (was DSF enabled appliance)
 - » An appliance that is able to automatically respond to external stimuli e.g. price information, direct control signals, and/or local measurements (mainly voltage and frequency).
 - » The response is a change of the appliance's electricity consumption pattern. These changes to the consumption pattern are what we call the 'flexibility' of the smart appliance.
- » Name is now in line with the new energy labelling framework

Phase 1 study – scope

- » Identification of potential of different energy smart appliances – 3 groups:
- » **High flexibility potential with few comfort and/or performance impacts:** dishwashers, washing machines, washer dryers, buffered water heaters, radiators, boilers, heat pumps, circulators, residential and non-residential air conditioners and battery storage systems
- » **Smaller flexibility potential and/or larger comfort/health impacts:** tumble dryers, refrigerators, freezers, extraction fans, heat recovery ventilation and air handlings units and chargers (low power);
- » **Only emergency flexibility potential:** electrical hobs, ovens, hoods, vacuum cleaners and lighting.

Phase 1 study – approach

- » Definition of environmental and economic impacts
 - » On an appliance level (interoperability, functionality,...)
 - » On the system level (by providing the flexibility through different system use cases/business cases) = KPIs:
 - » CO2 emission savings;
 - » the primary energy savings and efficiency of the utilized generation mix;
 - » savings in total energy system costs and marginal energy prices.
- » Quantification of the system benefits → dedicated model for 2014, 2020, and 2030 for 2 scenarios (BAU and 100%)
- » System benefits are later translated to the appliance benefits

Phase 1 study – main conclusions (1)

- » Flexibility from energy smart appliances can support the energy system in many ways:
 - » optimize the planning in day-ahead scheduling
 - » balance the production and consumption in real time
 - » prevent curtailment of renewable energy in the system with limited transmission capacity (maximize self-consumption)
- » resulting in positive impact on all defined KPIs and creating value for all the consumers (not only owners of energy smart appliance)
- » Theoretical monetary benefits for providing flexibility per energy smart appliance goes as high as 120 €/year/appliance, depending on the scenario, year, and the appliance.

Phase 1 study – main conclusions (2)

- » The appliances will only need very limited additions of electronic circuitry and other components.
- » Very difficult to derive generalised estimations of the additional investment costs that can only be attributed to the energy smart feature.
- » The operating costs related to in-house communication infrastructure can be assumed negligible (shared with other devices).

Phase 1 study – gaps

- » The need to include chargers for electric cars in the preparatory study and to explore their technical potential and other relevant issues in the context of demand response → emphasized and requested by the stakeholders
- » The modelling has so far not systematically included the EEA-countries. → requested by the stakeholders
- » Interoperability explored and monitored by the study is more complex than expected → more analysis requested by the stakeholders
- » Elaboration of the policy approaches identified and developed in the preparatory study (phase 1)

Phase 2 study – goals

- » What is the technical potential and economical/environmental effects of the energy smart appliances in Norway, Iceland, Switzerland and Lichtenstein?
- » What is the technical potential of electric chargers in EU and EEA countries in the context of demand response as defined in the phase 1 of the study?
- » What are other relevant issues related to electric chargers and electric vehicles in the context of demand response?
- » How can the policy options set as identified during the Phase 1 be deepened, regarding aspects such as:
 - » Feasibility and practical implications of horizontal requirements,
 - » Options to adapt policy approaches to different product groups,
 - » Design options and implications for product-specific implementing measures,
 - » Possibilities to disclose and promote interoperability?

Goal and agenda of the meeting

Programme

9:30 - 10:00	Welcome coffee/tea and Registration
10:00 - 10:15	Opening of the workshop <i>Robert Nuij and George Paunescu, European Commission DG Energy</i>
10:15 - 10:35	Main findings of phase 1 and scope of the phase 2 study <i>Ana Virag, VITO/EnergyVille</i>
10:35 - 11:35	Economic impact of energy smart appliances in the context of the broadened scope of the study <i>Ana Virag, VITO/EnergyVille</i>
11:35 - 11:50	Discussion
11:50 – 12:15	Policy approaches and set of proposed policy options for energy smart appliances <i>Jan Viegand, Viegand Maagøe A/S</i>
12:15 - 12:30	Discussion
12:30 - 13:30	Lunch
13:30 - 14:25	The context and strategic decisions for the development the policy options <i>Jef Verbeeck, VITO/EnergyVille</i>
14:25 – 15:05	Set of policy options for energy smart appliances (part 1) <i>Jef Verbeeck and Koen Vanthournout, VITO/EnergyVille</i>
15:05 - 15:20	Discussion
15:20 - 15:40	Coffee Break
15:40 - 16:20	Set of policy options for energy smart appliances (part 2) <i>Jef Verbeeck and Koen Vanthournout, VITO/EnergyVille</i>
16:20 - 16:50	Discussion
16:50 - 17:00	Closing of the workshop <i>Ana Virag, VITO/EnergyVille</i>